

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/527,066	03/17/2000	Douglas Rugg	5544.1 413428/031	3129
75	590 01/25/2002			
Daniel J Meaney Jr Esq			EXAMINER	
P O Box 22307 Santa Barbara, CA 93121			GARBE, ST	EPHEN P
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,6	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	09/527,066	RUGG, DOUGLAS				
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Stephen Garbe	3727				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover shet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 J	anuary 2000 .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	,					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language pro	visional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/527,066

Art Unit: 3727

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on January 10, 2002, has been entered.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because it incorrectly states that the article is "positioned on and protruding outwardly from the exterior surface of said outer member."
- 4. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These claims are indefinite for the same reason as claim 18 because they include all of its limitations.
- 5. Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite because some limitations appear to have been recited more than once. Thus, it is not clear whether those limitations are intended to require the same structure or to require different structure. Note, for

Application/Control Number: 09/527,066

Art Unit: 3727

example, the limitation, "operatively connected in a predetermined position to said outer member at a location other than the opening," which is recited in lines 9 and 10 and again in lines 11 and 12.

- 6. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. This claim is indefinite as claim 21 because it includes all of its limitations.
- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Eaton et al. (Eaton). It is submitted that Eaton's pocket inherently "protrudes outwardly" because it is on the exterior of the bag. Furthermore, the pocket will "protrude outwardly" when an item is contained therein. In addition, the pocket is "configured to be expanded to receive an article" because it will expand outwardly when a three dimensional article, such as a wallet or set of keys, is inserted into it. Alternatively, it would have been obvious to modify

Application/Control Number: 09/527,066

Art Unit: 3727

Eaton's pocket to protrude outwardly because it would be easier to open for the insertion of an article.

- 10. Claims 1-17 are allowed.
- 11. The drawing changes are approved.
- 12. Applicant's arguments filed with the RCE have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments regarding the invention disclosed by Eaton et al. are not persuasive because the drawings clearly disclose a pocket. That the text of the patent does not refer to the pocket by name does not mean that it is not a pocket. It is very clearly a pocket. In addition, the layer of material necessary to form the pocket is on the outer surface of the bag and, thus, protrudes. Furthermore, whether it is a card pocket (as applicant alleges) or a pocket for holding personal articles while the owner is playing basketball (or other sport), when an item is inserted into it, it will protrude even further. It is noted that the only alleged difference between the bag recited in claim 18 and the Eaton bag is that the pocket protrudes. This is not a patentable difference, if it is a difference at all, and this claim will not be allowed for that reason.
- 13. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/527,066

Art Unit: 3727

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this application or proceeding should be directed to

Stephen Garbe who can be reached at 703-308-1207. The examiner can normally be

reached Monday-Thursday between the hours of 7:15 and 4:45 and alternate Fridays

between the hours of 7:15 and 3:45.

15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on 703-308-2572.

16. The fax phone numbers for Technology Center 3700 are 703-872-9302 for

papers filed in response to a non-final Office Action and 703-872-9303 for papers filed

in response to a Final Office Action.

17. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist, whose telephone number is 703-308-

1148.

Stephen P. Garbe Primary Examiner Group 3720

Attachment for PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) 6/18/01

The below text replaces the pre-printed text under the heading, "Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes," on the back of the PTO-948 (Rev. 03/01, or earlier) form.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

1. Correction of Informalities -- 37 CFR 1.85

New corrected drawings must be filed with the changes incorporated therein Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and centered within the top margin. If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the Notice of Allowability. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 136(a) or (b) for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

2. Corrections other than Informalities Noted by Draftsperson on form PTO-948.

All changes to the drawings, other than informalities noted by the Drattsperson, MUST be made in the same manner as above except that, normally, a highlighted (preferably red ink) sketch of the changes to be incorporated into the new drawings MUST be approved by the examiner before the application will be allowed. No changes will be permitted to be made other than correction of informalities, unless the examiner has approved the proposed changes

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit the drawing corrections within the time period set in the attached Office communication. See 37 CFR 1.85(a)

Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application